

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/805,805	MANASSE, MARK S.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		BRENT STACE	2161	

All Participants:

(1) BRENT STACE.

(2) Kenneth Eiferman.

Date of Interview: 29 June 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: RCE

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:10pm EST

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 14, 17, and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/BRENT STACE/

Examiner, Art Unit 2161

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed amending an element of claim 14 into claims 1, and 17, resurrecting a struckthrough limitation with a language modification in all the claims, differentiating between the hash tables in all the claims, and adding hardware into the method claims so they are sufficiently tied to another statutory class of invention.